

This proposed runway is new, so it does not comply with 'Beyond the Horizons – Making Best Use of Existing Runways'. Aircraft noise (ISH90) pollution is already at saturation. The noise causes depression and stress to everyone living underneath the flight paths. There should always be a night ban. I Support the 0.5 decibel reduction every year in the noise envelope, as proposed by PINS (proposed at ISH9).

I demand a Carbon Cap – (ISH9) to ensure that Gatwick Airport's emissions are controlled and that they do reduce carbon (greenhouse gases) at the airport. I also demand that Scope 3 emissions are included in the cap, such as waste transportation to 3rd party incinerators.

Air pollution kills. The airport must not be allowed to contribute even more noxious pollution into the atmosphere. We need fewer aircraft and airports not more.

There should be full and meaningful compensation for all residents impacted by both a new runway and the increase in traffic on the main runway and on the roads, including outside of the current contour of consideration.

The areas over which the aircraft fly and the runway opening are areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (ANOB) and of historic importance. Adding even more flights and increasing the infrastructure needed to support the dreadful 2nd runway on the ground means that Gatwick will ruin the areas. Nothing about this has been addressed. Our countryside will be destroyed and a huge amount of wildlife with it. We should be preserving not destroying. Have these greedy businesses learnt nothing? Do they not care about our natural world?

Congested Surface Transport – Gatwick has still not addressed the lack of comprehensive data encompassing all times of operations, such as early morning and late night. It is also reliant upon 3rd parties to provide services, without providing any adequate funding to facilitate sustainable transport modes (ISH9). This will make the areas impossible to travel and live in. Quality of life both physical and mental will suffer.

To add - the air quality – (ISH9) will contribute to chronic and acute ill health. Gatwick offers nothing more than to 'monitor' air quality. This is not acceptable; air quality standards must be legally binding in the DCO. Gatwick must not be allowed to have it in the local authority agreement, known as a 106. Air quality standards are rising, so the DCO should have stringent mandatory targets that must be met by the airport with 1 runway let alone 2.

Local residents do not want or need migrant workers invading the area, who will need housing (all will be badly constructed and lead to further disintegration of the beauty of the area.) We have low unemployment locally and we don't need more people. Particularly ones with no loyalty to the area with the possibility of increased crime and antisocial behaviour.

Large unwanted increase in waste – I demand that there be accountability in how much waste will be transported on our roads, and to where.

The Community Fund – is not fit for purpose, as it has set criteria that do not include areas of impact. It currently focuses on media opportunity events and charities, so does not reflect the impact the airport currently has on communities.

Waste water flooding – The DCO must include a mandatory on-site wastewater sewerage treatment plant, to prevent local homes being flooded with sewerage due to no provision by Thames Water.

I am 100% against this 2nd runway. It must never be allowed to be built or used.